

indicated by *italics*.

<u>Restricted Group</u>	<u>New Claims</u>
Group I: Claims 1-8, 16-25, 34-36, 45-47, and 55	Claims 56-68, 78-86
Group II: Claims 9, 16-25, 55	Claims 76, and 77-86
Group III: Claims 1- and 55	Claims 69, 70-74, and 78-86
Group IV: Claims 11-12 and 16	Claim 75, and 76-86
Group V: Claims 13 and 55	No analogous claim
Group VI: Claims 14-16	No analogous claim
<i>Group VII: Claims 26 and 55</i>	<i>Claims 87-92</i>
Group VIII: Claims 27-31 and 55	Claims 93-99
Group IX: Claim 32	No analogous claim
Group X: Claim 33	No analogous claim
Group XI: Claims 37-38, and 55	No analogous claim
Group XII: Claims 39, 46, and 55	No analogous claim
Group XIII: Claims 40, 46, and 55	No analogous claim
Group XIV: Claims 41, 46, and 55	No analogous claim
Group XV: Claims 42, 46, and 55	No analogous claim
<i>Group XVI: Claims 43, 45-47, and 55</i>	<i>Claims 100-103</i>
<i>Group XVII: Claims 44-47 and 55</i>	<i>No analogous claim</i>

<u>Restricted Group</u>	<u>New Claims</u>
Group XVIII: Claims 48-49, and 54-55	No analogous claim
Group XIX: Claims 50-51, and 54-55	Claims 104-110
Group XX: Claims 52-55	Claims 111-117

Based on the comparison shown above, in view of Applicants election of Group I for prosecution on the merits, with traverse in Applicants' response filed herewith, Applicants herein elect newly added Claims 56-68, 78-86 (corresponding to Group I of the Restriction Requirement) for prosecution, with traverse. Applicants' traversal of the Restriction Requirement with respect to the newly added claims indicated above is based on the same rationale set forth in Applicants' response to the Restriction Requirement filed herewith, with the exception that Applicants' argument with respect to rejoinder of Group XVII is moot in view of the fact that no analogous claims to those of Group XVII have been added by this amendment. That is, Applicants traverse the restriction requirement with respect to the newly added claims above because there is no two-way distinctiveness between the claims 56-68, 78-86 (analogous to Group I), 87-92 (analogous to Group VII), and 100-103 (analogous to Group XVI), and because a complete search of the indicated claims would not impose an undue search burden on the Examiner.

Applicants submit that the newly added claims to not introduce any new matter.

Conclusion

Applicant submits that all claims are allowable as written and respectfully requests early favorable action by the Examiner. If the Examiner believes that a telephone conversation with Applicants' attorney would expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is cordially invited to call the undersigned attorney of record.

Atty. Docket No.: 8039/1122
Serial No.: 09/888,313
Page 13

Date: November 22, 2002

Respectfully submitted,



Name: Kathleen M. Williams
Registration No.: 34,380
Customer No.: 29933
Palmer & Dodge LLP
111 Huntington Avenue
Boston, MA 02199-7613
Tel: 617-239-0100